

UNCLASSIFIED

Department of State

9/28/79

INCOMING  
TELEGRAM

PAGE 01 BUENOS 05045 301752Z  
ACTION ARA-15

AK307

6365  
R

INFO OCT-01 ADS-00 SS-15 L-03 H-01 NSC-05 INR-10  
CIAE-00 SY-05 HA-05 /060 W

-----118872 301931Z /53

R 281635Z SEP 79  
FM AMEMBASSY BUENOS AIRES  
TO SECSTATE WASHDC 2581

[REDACTED] BUENOS AIRES 8045

E. D. 12065: GDS 9/28/85 (FRIEDMAN, TOWNSEND B.) OR=P  
TAGS: SHUM AR  
SUBJ: [REDACTED] TIMERMAN COURT DECISION

REF: (A) BUENOS AIRES 7929: (B) BUENOS AIRES 7963

ARGENTINA PROJECT (S200000044)  
U.S. DEPT. OF STATE, A/RPS/PS  
Margaret P. Grafeld, Director  
Exemptions(s):  
☒ Release ☐ Exclse ☐ Deny  
Classify: ☐ In Part ☒ In Full  
☐ Classify as ☐ Extend as ☐ Downgrade to  
Date \_\_\_\_\_ Reason \_\_\_\_\_  
Declassify on \_\_\_\_\_

1. [REDACTED] - ENTIRE TEXT.

2. WE HAVE NOW BEEN OVER THE SUPREME COURT'S DECISION  
IN THE TIMERMAN CASE AND HAVE DISCUSSED IT BRIEFLY WITH  
TIMERMAN'S LAWYER. IN SUM, IT WAS A NARROW DECISION  
DIRECTED AT THE ILLEGALITY OF TIMERMAN'S DETENTION  
RATHER THAN THE UNCONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE LAWS UNDER  
WHICH HE WAS DETAINED. IN THIS REGARD, IT FOLLOWED VERY  
CLOSELY THE REASONING SET FORTH IN REF A. WE ARE SURE,  
HOWEVER, THAT LAWYERS HERE WILL TRY TO EXPLOIT THIS  
DECISION TO FIND BROADER MEANING AND APPLICATION IN IT--  
IN FACT THIS WAS CLEARLY IMPLIED TO US BY TIMERMAN'S LAWYER  
WHO SAID "THEY THINK IT IS A NARROW DECISION BUT WE SHALL  
SEE."  
CASTRO

UNCLASSIFIED

82 D103  
Box 17404